

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,589	03/09/2004	Clifton Deal	1101	
759	90 12/30/2005		EXAMINER	
Clifford Kraft			WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL	
320 Robin Hill Dr. Naperville, IL 60540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,589	DEAL, CLIFTON			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John D. Walters	3618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 March 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/796,589

Art Unit: 3618

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1 – 12 have been examined.

Specification

Claim 11 is objected to because of the following informalities:

• as written, said claim is dependant on claim 81, which does not exist.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Enright (2,926,927) in view of Seiling (3,336,046). Enright discloses a two-wheeled coaster vehicle comprising:

- a front and rear wheel (Fig. 1, items 54 and 32);
- said wheels attached to a frame (Fig. 1, item 12);
- said frame comprising a handle bar and a seat (Fig. 1, items 56 and 20);
- a floor being sloped towards vehicle front (Fig. 1, item 62).

Page 2

Enright does not make use of a solid floorboard nor does he disclose differing sized tires. Seiling, however, discloses a pedalless bicycle comprising:

- a solid platform (Fig. 1, item 17);
- differing sized wheels (Fig. 1, items 12 and 18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the solid board of Seiling to the coaster vehicle of Enright in order to provide more stable footing for an operator.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the differing sized wheels of Seiling to the coaster vehicle of Enright in order to provide a smaller tire in front to facilitate turning and a larger tire in the rear to facilitate the absorption of the forces generated by uneven ground.

In regards to claims 2-6 and 8-11, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to size the components of said vehicle to properly fit the expected physical size/structure of the operator.

In regards to claims 7 and 12, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to make use of a lightweight material, such as aluminum, in order to reduce vehicle weight and improve speed characteristics.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Application/Control Number: 10/796,589 Page 4

Art Unit: 3618

- Lovell (1,535,167) discloses a scooter;
- Weber (1,725,838) discloses a frame for bicycles and the like;
- Koch (1,965,194) discloses a combination velocipede:
- Bernier (2,183,534) discloses a vehicle, scooter type;
- Huyssen (3,647,241) discloses a rider propelled vehicle;
- Ehrlich (4,763,913) discloses a bicycle/scooter combination;
- Dickson et al. (5,992,864) discloses a motorless human powered scooter;
- Ray et al. (6,273, 439) discloses a scooter;
- Nall (6,450,516) discloses a scooter with adjustable seat assembly;
- Dold (DE 3936317 A1) discloses a bicycle/scooter combination.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John D. Walters whose telephone number is (571) 272-8269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John D. Walters Examiner

Examiner
Art Unit 3618

JEW